Office of Regulatory Management

Economic Review Form

| Agency name | Virginia Department of Aviation |
|---|--|
| Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s) | 24VAC5-20-10, 24VAC5-20-410, 24VAC5-20-420, 24VAC5-20-430, 24VAC5-20-440, 24VAC5-20-450 |
| VAC Chapter title(s) | Definitions, Definitions for Part VIII, Unmanned Aircraft, Political Subdivision Powers, Procedure for adopting a regulation or ordinance, Exceptions, Federal laws and regulations |
| Action title | Take-Off and Landing Unmanned Aircraft on Land Owned by a Political Subdivision or Locality |
| Date this document prepared | August 22, 2023 |
| Regulatory Stage (including Issuance of Guidance Documents) | Final |

Cost Benefit Analysis

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

| | D C4 C41 | | (\mathbf{D}^{\prime}) |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Table 1a: Costs and | Benefits of the | Proposed Changes | (Primary Option) |

| (1) Direct & | n/a | | |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Indirect Costs & | | | |
| Benefits | | | |
| (Monetized) | | | |
| (2) Present | | | |
| Monetized Values | Direct & Indirect Costs | Direct & Indirect Benefits | |
| | (a) n/a | (b) n/a | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (3) Net Monetized | n/a | | |
| Benefit | | | |
| (4) Other Costs & | The added consistency to the | e regulatory regime benefits users by | |
| Benefits (Non- | ensuring all political subdivisions follow a set process for any drone | | |
| Monetized) | operator and this allows for a consistent process to be anticipated by | | |
| | drone operators. | | |
| | The cost will be incurred by the political subdivisions enacting this | | |
| | regulations and the burden o | f holding a public hearing. | |
| (5) Information | | | |
| Sources | | | |
| | | | |

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

| (1) Direct & | n/a | | |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| Indirect Costs & | | | |
| Benefits | | | |
| (Monetized) | | | |
| (2) Present | | | |
| Monetized Values | Direct & Indirect Costs | Direct & Indirect Benefits | |
| | (a) n/a | (b) n/a | |
| | | | |
| (3) Net Monetized | n/a | | |
| Benefit | | | |
| | | | |
| (4) Other Costs & | This regulation would make permanent existing emergency regulation. If | | |
| Benefits (Non- | this regulatory action were not taken, the benefits and costs described in | | |
| Monetized) | Table 1a would not arise. | | |

| (5) Information | n/a |
|-----------------|-----|
| Sources | |
| | |

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

| By extending | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| the hearing period, more public input could potentially be received, but | | |
| place a burden on the political subdivision with additional time being | | |
| diverted to this task. Likewise, the hearing time could be reduced, yielding potentially less public input and alleviating the political | | |
| | | |
| is task. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Impact on Local Partners

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 2: Impact on Local Partners

| 1 | | | |
|------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| (1) Direct & | See table 1a for description. Cost is almost solely on local partner. | | |
| Indirect Costs & | | | |
| Benefits | | | |
| (Monetized) | | | |
| | | | |
| (2) Present | | | |
| Monetized Values | Direct & Indirect Costs | Direct & Indirect Benefits | |
| | (a) n/a | (b) n/a | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| (3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized) | All impact is on local partners, please see Table 1a. |
|---|---|
| (4) Assistance | n/a |
| (5) Information Sources | n/a |

Impacts on Families

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 3: Impact on Families

| rable 5. impact on h | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|
| (1) Direct & | | |
| Indirect Costs & | | |
| Benefits | | |
| (Monetized) | | |
| (2) Present | | |
| Monetized Values | Direct & Indirect Costs | Direct & Indirect Benefits |
| | (a) n/a | (b) n/a |
| | | |
| | | |
| (3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized) | Some users may be families. No add anticipated through this regulation. | itional impact to families is |
| (4) Information Sources | | |
| Impacts on Small Bi | usinossos | |

Impacts on Small Businesses

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

| (1) Direct & | n/a | |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Indirect Costs & | | |
| Benefits | | |
| (Monetized) | | |
| | | |
| (2) Present | | |
| Monetized Values | Direct & Indirect Costs | Direct & Indirect Benefits |

| | (a) n/a | (b) n/a |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| (3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- | This regulation is targeted at recreati businesses are anticipated. | onal use and no impact to small |
| Monetized) (4) Alternatives | n/a | |
| (5) Information Sources | | |

Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements

Table 5: Regulatory Reduction

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

| Change | in Door | lator Da | animan anta |
|--------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| Change | in Kegu | iiaiory kee | quirements |

| VAC Section(s) Involved | Authority of Change | Initial Count | Additions | Subtractions | Net Change |
|--|------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| 24VAC5-20- | Statutory: | <mark>0</mark> | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 10, 24VAC5-20- 410, 24VAC5-20- 420, 24VAC5-20- 430, 24VAC5-20- 440, 24VAC5-20- 450 | Discretionary: | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

| VAC Section(s) Involved | Description of Regulatory Requirement | Initial Cost | New Cost | Overall Cost Savings/Increases |
|----------------------------|---|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

| VAC Section(s) Involved | Description of Regulatory Change | Overview of How It Reduces or Increases Regulatory Burden |
|--|--|--|
| 24VAC5-20-10, 24VAC5-20-410, 24VAC5-20-420, 24VAC5-20-430, 24VAC5-20-440, 24VAC5-20-450 | Provides guidance for political subdivisions for enacting ordinances for takeoffs and landings of UAV's on their property. | Overall this has the effect or reducing the burden on drone operators, by enacting restrictions on how localities can restrict drones. |
| | | |

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

| Title of Guidance | Original Length | New Length | Net Change in |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|
| Document | | | Length |